

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,413		12/12/2003	Steven F. Bolling	ORQIS.018A	2138
20995	7590	11/02/2006		EXAMINER	
12.0222		NS OLSON & BEA	SMITH, PAUL B		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IRVINE, CA 92614			3763	
				DATE MAILED: 11/02/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N(					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Asticus Occurrences	10/735,413	BOLLING ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul B. Smith	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING 6  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 200 <u>6</u> .						
, ·	· _						
•							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-39 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	dare: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ le drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in  iority documents have bee  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/26/2004</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/26/2004 is acknowledged. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.
 Accordingly, the examiner considers the references cited therein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loiterman ('165).
- 3. Loiterman discloses a medical device comprising a body (1), a lumen (9), an inflation lumen (7), a balloon (4), and inflation means (10). Loiterman discloses a plurality of radially spaced balloons. Loiterman discloses multiple lumens. It is inherent that the balloons form a perfusion lumen when deployed. (See Figure 1 and 2)
- 4. It appears that Loiterman reasonably discloses every element of claims 1-13 and 20-23.
- 5. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kulik et al. ('712).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,413 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

6. Kulik *et al.* discloses a medical device comprising a cannula body (2), a lumen (not referenced), apertures (8), and a sleeve (3). The sleeve is disposed on the outside of the cannula body and is configured to move radially and longitudinally. (See Figures 1, 3, and 4)

7. It appears that Kulik et al. reasonably discloses every element of claims 14-18.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kulik *et al.* ('712) in view of Loiterman ('165).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,413 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

11. Kulik *et al.* discloses a medical device comprising a cannula body (2), a lumen (not referenced), apertures (8), and a sleeve (3). The sleeve is disposed on the outside of the cannula body and is configured to move radially and longitudinally. (See Figures 1, 3, and 4)

- 12. Kulik et al. fails to disclose a cannula with multiple lumens.
- 13. Loiterman teaches using multiple lumens in a catheter for delivering various tools or medicant. (See Column 3 Lines 42-47)
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosure of Kulik *et al.* with the teachings of Loiterman to provide a cannula with multiple lumens.
- 15. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loiterman ('165) in view of Gerberding ('187).
- 16. Loiterman discloses a medical device comprising a body (1), a lumen (9), an inflation lumen (7), a balloon (4), and inflation means (10). Loiterman discloses a plurality of radially spaced balloons. Loiterman discloses multiple lumens. It is inherent that the balloons form a perfusion lumen when deployed. (See Figure 1 and 2)

Art Unit: 3763

17. Loiterman fails to disclose a sleeve disposed on the outer body to cover an aperture.

- 18. Gerberding teaches a sleeve disposed on the outer body of a catheter. The sleeve (250) is longitudinally movable to selectively cover an aperture (124) that is in fluid communication with a lumen (115) within the catheter (10). (See Figure 1 and 16)
- 19. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Loiterman with the teachings of Gerberding to provide a sleeve disposed on an outer surface such that said sleeve selectively covers an aperture in the catheter body.

## Conclusion

- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6022. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am 4 pm.
- 21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,413 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul B Smith Examiner Art Unit 3763

PBS October 25, 2006